

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/806,587	03/23/2004	Tsutomu Matsuzaki	44471/298744	2230	
23370 75	590 04/17/2006		EXAMINER		
JOHN S. PRATT, ESQ			CINTINS, IVARS C		
KILPATRICK STOCKTON, LLP 1100 PEACHTREE STREET			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ATLANTA, G			1724	1724	
			DATE MAILED: 04/17/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				カン
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/806,587	MATSUZAKI, TSUTOMU	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Ivars C. Cintins	1724	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	ie correspondence addres	SS
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Depend for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period varie to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply built apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS to a cause the application to become ABANDE.	ION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this commu DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			•	
·	,	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters,		erits is
Disposit	ion of Claims			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1,4,5,9 and 10 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1,4,5,9 and 10 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.		
Applicat	ion Papers			
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceed applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. ion is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1	• •
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applic ity documents have been rece ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	cation No eived in this National Sta	ge
2) Notic 3) Inform	t(s) te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Ma 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:		2)

Application/Control Number: 10/806,587

Art Unit: 1724

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1, 4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as based on a disclosure which is not enabling. The presence of a <u>coolant</u> in the "cooling system" of the invention, which coolant consists essentially of water and glycol, appears to be critical and essential to the practice of the invention (see page 3, line 10 of the specification; and line 3 of the abstract). Since this feature has not been recited in claims 1, 4 and 5, these claims are not enabled by the disclosure. *In re Mayhew*, 527 F.2d 1229, 188 USPQ 356 (CCPA 1976).

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1, 4, 5, 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which Applicant regards as the invention. Claims 1, 4 and 5 fail to recite the apparently essential limitation that the cooling system contains a coolant consisting essentially of water and glycol; and therefore, these claims fail to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which Applicant regards as the invention. Also, the recitation of circulating coolant through the fuel cell, heat exchanger and filter (claim 9, lines 2-3) is deemed to be incomplete and/or misdescriptive, since it appears that the fluid passing through the filter must contain glycol oxidation reaction products in addition to the recited coolant.

Application/Control Number: 10/806,587

Art Unit: 1724

Applicant's arguments filed February 10, 2006 have been noted and carefully considered but are not deemed to be persuasive of patentability. Applicant argues that "[a]lthough coolant can be used with the claimed system, a positive recitation of the coolant is not necessary." This argument does not appear to be well founded. Claims 1, 4 and 5 are directed to a "cooling system" (see the first line of each of these claims), and it appears essential that this cooling system contain a coolant. Furthermore, unless a coolant containing water and glycol is present in the recited system, there would be no glycol oxidation reaction products present for the filter to remove. Moreover, Applicant has clearly disclosed that only water and glycol may be present in the coolant (page 3, line 10 of the specification; and line 3 of the abstract), because the presence of anticorrosives or antioxidants in this coolant would obstruct the fuel cell reaction (see page 2, lines 25-27 of the specification); and therefore, the claims of this application must be limited to such a coolant.

Claims 1, 4, 5 and 9 would be allowed if amended to overcome the above rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 112.

Applicant is advised that should claim 9 be found allowable, then claim 10 will be objected to under 37 CFR § 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate thereof, because the step of filtering oxidation reaction product (dependent claim 10, line 2) appears to already be present in parent claim 9 (i.e. in lines 5-6). See M.P.E.P. § 706.03(k).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to I. Cintins whose telephone number is 571-272-1155.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 AM to 5:00

Art Unit: 1724

PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Duane Smith, can be reached at 571-272-1166.

The centralized facsimile number for the USPTO is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ivars C. Cintins
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1724

I. Cintins April 14, 2006